

# ON AN EIGENVALUE PROBLEM FOR AN ANISOTROPIC ELLIPTIC EQUATION INVOLVING VARIABLE EXPONENTS

MIHAI MIHĂILESCU

*Department of Mathematics, Central European University, 1051 Budapest, Hungary;  
Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova, 200585 Craiova, Romania  
e-mail: mmihales@yahoo.com*

and GHEORGHE MOROȘANU

*Department of Mathematics, Central European University, 1051 Budapest, Hungary  
e-mail: Morosanug@ceu.hu*

(Received 25 March 2009; revised 29 October 2009; accepted 21 February 2010)

**Abstract.** We study the eigenvalue problem  $-\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i}(|\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u) = \lambda |u|^{q(x)-2} u$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda$  is a positive real number, and  $p_1, \dots, p_N, q$  are continuous functions satisfying the following conditions:  $2 \leq p_i(x) < N$ ,  $1 < q(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ; there exist  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $j \neq k$ , such that  $p_j \equiv q$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ ,  $q$  is independent of  $x_j$  and  $\max_{\bar{\Omega}} q < \min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_k$ . The main result of this paper establishes the existence of two positive constants  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$  with  $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$  such that every  $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$  is an eigenvalue, while no  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  can be an eigenvalue of the above problem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35D05, 35J60, 35J70, 58E05.

**1. Introduction.** The goal of this paper is to study the existence of solutions of the following anisotropic eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i}(|\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u) = \lambda |u|^{q(x)-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 3$ ) is a bounded domain with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\lambda$  is a positive number, and  $p_i, q$  are continuous functions on  $\bar{\Omega}$  such that  $2 \leq p_i(x) < N$  and  $q(x) > 1$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ .

Our study is motivated by some recent advances on the eigenvalue problems for anisotropic operators involving variable exponent growth conditions obtained in [19]. Considering different cases regarding the variable exponents  $p_i(x)$  and  $q(x)$  involved in equation (1), the authors of [19] found certain interesting results that will be briefly presented in what follows:

- In the case when  $\max\{\max_{\bar{\Omega}} p_1, \dots, \max_{\bar{\Omega}} p_N\} < \min_{\bar{\Omega}} q$  and  $q$  has a subcritical growth, a mountain pass argument can be applied in order to show that any  $\lambda > 0$  is an eigenvalue of problem (1) (see [19, Theorem 2]).
- In the case when  $\min_{\bar{\Omega}} q < \min\{\min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_1, \dots, \min_{\bar{\Omega}} p_N\}$  and  $q$  has a subcritical growth, using Ekeland's variational principle, one can prove the existence of a

continuous family of eigenvalues lying in a neighbourhood of the origin (see [19, Theorem 4]).

- In the case when  $\max_{\overline{\Omega}} q < \min\{\min_{\overline{\Omega}} p_1, \dots, \min_{\overline{\Omega}} p_N\}$  it can be proved that the energy functional associated with problem (1) has a non-trivial (global) minimum point for any positive  $\lambda$  large enough and, consequently, any positive  $\lambda$  large enough is an eigenvalue of problem (1) (see [19, Theorem 3]). Obviously, in this case the above result can also be applied and, thus, in this situation there exist two positive constants  $\lambda^*$  and  $\lambda^{**}$  such that every  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*) \cup (\lambda^{**}, \infty)$  is an eigenvalue of problem (1) (see [19, Corollary 1]).

Our paper supplements the above results on problem (1) by considering a new case, when there exist  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  with  $j \neq k$  such that  $p_j$  is independent of  $x_j$ ,

$$p_j(x) = q(x), \quad \forall x \in \overline{\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{\overline{\Omega}} q < \min_{\overline{\Omega}} p_k.$$

In this situation it will be proved that small values of  $\lambda$  cannot be eigenvalues of problem (1) while every  $\lambda$  large enough is an eigenvalue of problem (1).

On the other hand, we point out that our study extends to the case of anisotropic equations the results obtained in [22] and generalizes some other existing results on eigenvalue problems involving variable exponent growth conditions [11, 12, 20, 21, 23]. Finally, we note that equations of type (1) are models for various phenomena which arise from the study of electrorheological fluids (see [7, 14, 20, 29, 30]), image processing (see [6]), or the theory of elasticity (see [35]).

**2. Abstract framework.** In this section we recall some definitions and basic properties of the variable exponent Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  and  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We will also introduce an adequate functional space where problems of type (1) can be studied. Such a space will be called an anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space and it can be characterized as a functional space of Sobolev’s type in which different space directions have different roles.

Set  $C_+(\overline{\Omega}) = \{h \in C(\overline{\Omega}) : \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} h(x) > 1\}$ . For  $h \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  we define

$$h^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} h(x) \quad \text{and} \quad h^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} h(x).$$

For  $p \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ , we introduce *the variable exponent Lebesgue space*

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{u : u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function such that } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\},$$

endowed with the so-called *Luxemburg norm*

$$|u|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0; \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is a separable and reflexive Banach space. If  $|\Omega| < \infty$  and  $p_1, p_2$  are variable exponents in  $C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  such that  $p_1 \leq p_2$  in  $\Omega$ , then the embedding  $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is continuous.

Let  $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  be the conjugate space of  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , obtained by conjugating the exponent pointwise, that is,  $1/p(x) + 1/p'(x) = 1$ . For every  $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  and  $v \in$

$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  the following Hölder type inequality

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)} \tag{2}$$

is valid.

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces is played by the  $p(\cdot)$ -modular of the  $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  space, which is the mapping  $\rho_{p(\cdot)} : L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx.$$

If  $u_n, u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  then the following implications hold

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+}, \tag{3}$$

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}, \tag{4}$$

$$\|u_n - u\|_{p(\cdot)} \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0, \tag{5}$$

since  $p^+ < \infty$ .

Next, we define  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  as the closure of  $C_0^1(\Omega)$  under the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

We point out that the above norm is equivalent with the following norm

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_{x_i} u\|_{p(\cdot)},$$

provided that  $p(x) \geq 2$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$  (see [18]). Hence  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is a separable, reflexive Banach space. Note that if  $s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $s(x) < p^*(x)$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , where  $p^*(x) = Np(x)/[N - p(x)]$  if  $p(x) < N$  and  $p^*(x) = \infty$  if  $p(x) \geq N$ , then the embedding  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is compact. For proofs, details and further results on variable exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces we refer to Musielak’s book [24] and the papers of Kováčik and Rákosník [17], Edmunds et al. [8–10], Samko and Vakulov [31], while for applications of such kind of spaces to the study of partial differential equations we refer to [1–7, 15, 19–23, 26, 29, 30, 35].

Finally, we introduce a natural generalization of the variable exponent Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  that will enable us to study problem (1) with sufficient accuracy. For this purpose, let us denote by  $\vec{p} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  the vectorial function  $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_N)$ . We define  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ , the *anisotropic variable exponents Sobolev space*, as the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_{x_i} u\|_{p_i(\cdot)}.$$

As it was pointed out in [19],  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is a reflexive Banach space.

We also note that in the case when  $p_i$  are all constant functions, the resulting anisotropic Sobolev space is denoted by  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}}(\Omega)$ , where  $\vec{p}$  is the constant vector  $(p_1, \dots, p_N)$ . The theory of such spaces was developed in [13, 25, 27, 33, 34].

On the other hand, in order to facilitate the manipulation of the space  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  we introduce  $\vec{P}_+, \vec{P}_- \in \mathbb{R}^N$  as

$$\vec{P}_+ = (p_1^+, \dots, p_N^+), \quad \vec{P}_- = (p_1^-, \dots, p_N^-)$$

and  $P_+, P_-, P_- \in \mathbb{R}^+$  as

$$P_+ = \max\{p_1^+, \dots, p_N^+\}, \quad P_- = \max\{p_1^-, \dots, p_N^-\}, \quad P_- = \min\{p_1^-, \dots, p_N^-\}.$$

Throughout this paper we assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i^-} > 1, \tag{6}$$

and define  $P_-^* \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $P_{-, \infty} \in \mathbb{R}^+$  by

$$P_-^* = \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^N 1/p_i^- - 1}, \quad P_{-, \infty} = \max\{P_-, P_-^*\}.$$

We recall that if  $s \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$  satisfies  $1 < s(x) < P_{-, \infty}$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , then the embedding  $W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{s(\cdot)}(\Omega)$  is compact (see [19, Theorem 1]).

**3. The main result.** We say that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an *eigenvalue* of problem (1) if there exists  $u \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_i} \varphi - \lambda |u|^{q(x)-2} u \varphi \right\} dx = 0$$

for all  $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  an eigenvalue of problem (1) the function  $u$  from the above definition will be called a *weak solution* of problem (1) corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

In this paper our basic assumptions on the functions  $p_i, q$  involved in equation (1) will be the following:

- (A1) Assume that there exists  $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  such that  $q(x) = q(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N)$  (i.e.  $q$  is independent of  $x_j$ ) and  $p_j(x) = q(x)$  for all  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ .
- (A2) Assume that there exists  $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$  ( $k \neq j$  with  $j$  given in (A1)) such that

$$\max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} q(x) < \min_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} p_k(x).$$

Define the Rayleigh type quotients  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$  associated with problem (1) by

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1, \bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_i u|^{p_i(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx}, \quad \lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1, \bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i(x)} |\partial_i u|^{p_i(x)} dx}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx}.$$

The main result of this paper is given by the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *Assume that conditions (A1) and (A2) are fulfilled. Then  $0 < \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$  and every  $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$  is an eigenvalue of problem (1), while no  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  can be an eigenvalue of problem (1).*

**REMARK 1.** At this stage, we are not able to say whether  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1$ . In the latter case, an interesting question concerns the existence of eigenvalues of problem (1) in the interval  $[\lambda_0, \lambda_1]$ . We propose to the reader the study of these open problems.

**REMARK 2.** The result of Theorem 1 also supplements some earlier *classical* results on eigenvalue problems. For instance, in the case when in equation (1) we consider  $p_i(x) = q(x) = 2$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , a basic result in the elementary theory of partial differential equations asserts that the spectrum of the negative Laplace operator (in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ ) is *discrete* (if  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary). This celebrated result goes back to the Riesz–Fredholm theory of self-adjoint and compact operators on Banach spaces. Furthermore, in the case when in equation (1) we have  $p_i(x) = q(x) = p$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ , with  $p > 1$  a given constant, then the operator involved in the equation is similar with the  $p$ -Laplace operator, i.e.  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ . In this case the Lusternik–Schnirelman theory asserts that the spectrum of the negative  $p$ -Laplace operator contains at least an unbounded sequence of positive eigenvalues, say  $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \dots \leq \mu_n \leq \dots$ . Unfortunately, to our best knowledge, nothing is known in general about the possible existence of other eigenvalues in  $(\mu_1, \infty)$ . However, it is known (see [4]) that  $\mu_1$  is an isolated point of the spectrum (actually,  $\mu_1$  is given by the infimum of the Rayleigh quotient which defines  $\lambda_1$  above).

We point out that in the two cases presented above the two Rayleigh quotients, which define  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_0$ , are equal and consequently, in these two cases, we have  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_0$ . Clearly, that fact is a consequence of the homogeneity of the equations in these two particular cases. The loss of homogeneity in the case emphasized in Theorem 1 will lead to a *continuous* spectrum for problem (1).

**4. An auxiliary result.** A key result in proving Theorem 1 is given by the following proposition which extends the result of relation (11) in [13]. The proof of this result is inspired by the proof of relation (11) in [13].

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Assume that condition (A1) is fulfilled. Then there exists a positive constant  $C = C(a_j, q^+)$  such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_j} u|^{q(x)} dx, \quad \forall u \in C_0^1(\Omega).$$

*Proof.* First, we recall the definition of the *width* of the domain  $\Omega$  in a direction. Consider that  $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$  is the canonical basis in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We say that  $\Omega$  has *width*  $a_i > 0$  in the  $e_i$  direction if

$$\sup_{x, y \in \Omega} (x - y, e_i) = a_i.$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$\Omega \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N; \quad 0 < x_j \leq a_j\}.$$

For each  $u \in C_0^1(\Omega)$  we put

$$v(x) = u(x) \partial_{x_j} u(x).$$

Next, we extend  $u$  and  $v$  on the whole  $\mathbb{R}^N$  by setting 0 outside  $\text{supp}(u)$  and  $\text{supp}(v)$ . For each  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  let us denote  $x' = (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ . In order to emphasize the  $j$ th component of  $x$  we will write  $x = (x_j, x')$ .

With the above notation we have  $q(x) = q(x')$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{|u(a_j, x')|^{q(x')} - |u(0, x')|^{q(x')}}{q(x')} = \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v(t, x') dt \\ &= \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt - \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^-(t, x') dt, \end{aligned}$$

where  $v^\pm(t, x') = \max\{0, \pm v(t, x')\}$ .

On the other hand, the following equality holds true

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} |v(t, x')| dt &= \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^-(t, x') dt. \end{aligned}$$

The above equalities imply

$$\int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} |v(t, x')| dt.$$

Using the last relation and some elementary estimates we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_j, x')|^{q(x')} &= q(x') \int_0^{x_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v(t, x') dt \\ &\leq q(x') \int_0^{x_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt \\ &\leq q(x') \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-2} v^+(t, x') dt \\ &= \frac{q(x')}{2} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')-1} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')| dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x_j \in (0, a_j)$ . Now, using Young’s inequality, we deduce that

$$|u(t, x')|^{q(x')-1} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')| \leq \frac{q(x') - 1}{q(x')} \varepsilon^{\frac{q(x')}{q(x')-1}} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} + \frac{1}{q(x') \varepsilon^{q(x')}} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')},$$

for all  $(t, x') \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The last two relations yield

$$|u(x_j, x')|^{q(x')} \leq \frac{q(x') - 1}{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{q(x')}{q(x')-1}} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{q(x')}} \int_0^{a_j} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt,$$

for all  $x_j \in (0, a_j)$ , all  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Integrating the above inequality with respect to  $x_j \in (0, a_j)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt &\leq a_j \frac{q(x') - 1}{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{q(x')}{q(x')-1}} \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{a_j}{2\varepsilon^{q(x')}} \int_0^{a_j} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Next, for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  we find

$$\left[ 1 - a_j \frac{q^+ - 1}{2} \varepsilon^{\frac{q^+}{q^+-1}} \right] \int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt \leq \frac{a_j}{2\varepsilon^{q^+}} \int_0^{a_j} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt,$$

for all  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Obviously, there exists  $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ , small enough, such that

$$\alpha := 1 - a_j \frac{q^+ - 1}{2} \varepsilon_0^{\frac{q^+}{q^+-1}} > 0.$$

Thus, we find

$$\int_0^{a_j} |u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt \leq \frac{a_j}{2\alpha \varepsilon_0^{q^+}} \int_0^{a_j} |\partial_{x_j} u(t, x')|^{q(x')} dt.$$

Finally, letting  $C = \frac{a_j}{2\alpha \varepsilon_0^{q^+}}$  and integrating the last inequality with respect to  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^N$  we conclude

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_j} u|^{q(x)} dx,$$

for every  $u \in C_0^1(\Omega)$ .

The proof of Proposition 1 is complete. □

**5. Proof of the main result.** From now on  $E$  denotes the anisotropic variable exponent Orlicz–Sobolev space  $W_0^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ . Define the functionals  $J, I, J_1, I_1 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

by

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i(x)} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} dx, \quad I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx,$$

$$J_1(u) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} dx, \quad I_1(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx.$$

Standard arguments imply that  $J, I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  and their Fréchet derivatives are given by

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_i} v dx, \quad \langle I'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)-2} uv dx,$$

for all  $u, v \in E$ .

- First, we note that by Proposition 1 we can easily infer that

$$\lambda_0 = \inf_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J_1(u)}{I_1(u)} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)} > 0.$$

- Second, we point out that no  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  can be an eigenvalue of problem (1). Indeed, assuming by contradiction that there exists  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  an eigenvalue of problem (1) it follows that there exists a  $w_\lambda \in E \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\langle J'(w_\lambda), v \rangle = \lambda \langle I'(w_\lambda), v \rangle, \quad \forall v \in E.$$

Thus, for  $v = w_\lambda$  we find

$$\langle J'(w_\lambda), w_\lambda \rangle = \lambda \langle I'(w_\lambda), w_\lambda \rangle,$$

that is,

$$J_1(w_\lambda) = \lambda I_1(w_\lambda).$$

The fact that  $w_\lambda \in E \setminus \{0\}$  assures that  $I_1(w_\lambda) > 0$ . Since  $\lambda < \lambda_0$ , the above information yields

$$J_1(w_\lambda) \geq \lambda_0 I_1(w_\lambda) > \lambda I_1(w_\lambda) = J_1(w_\lambda).$$

Clearly, the above inequalities lead to a contradiction. Consequently, no  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  can be an eigenvalue of problem (1).

- Third, we will prove that every  $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$  is an eigenvalue of problem (1).

In order to do that, we need the following auxiliary result.

LEMMA 1.

$$\lim_{\|u\|_{\dot{W}^{1,p(\cdot)}} \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)} = \infty.$$

*Proof.* Assume by contradiction that the conclusion of Lemma 1 does not hold true. Then there exists an  $M > 0$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  there exists a  $u_n \in E$  with



$\|u_n\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} > n$  and

$$\frac{J(u_n)}{I(u_n)} \leq M. \tag{7}$$

While  $\|u_n\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} = \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u_n|_{p_i(\cdot)} \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the sequence  $\{|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}\}_n$  (with  $k$  given by condition (A2)) is either bounded or unbounded.

On the other hand, it is not difficult to see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} \leq \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^-} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^+} dx, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Next, using relation (11) in [13] we find that there exists a positive constant  $c_1$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^-} dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^+} dx \leq c_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_k} u|^{q^-} dx + \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_k} u|^{q^+} dx \right), \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Since by condition (A2) we have  $q^+ < p_k^- \leq P_-^+ \leq P_{-\infty}$  we deduce that  $L^{p_k(\cdot)}$  is continuously embedded in  $L^{q^+}(\Omega)$ . The above pieces of information lead to the existence of a positive constant  $c_2$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} \leq c_2 [|\partial_{x_k} u|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^+} + |\partial_{x_k} u|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^-}], \quad \forall u \in E. \tag{8}$$

If  $\{|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}\}_n$  is bounded then by inequality (8) we have that  $\{J(u_n)\}_n$  is also bounded while by relation (19) in [19] we have that

$$J(u_n) \geq c_3 \|u_n\|_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}^{P_-^-} - c_4, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

where  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are two positive constants. Consequently, in this case we obtain that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{J(u_n)}{I(u_n)} = \infty$  which contradicts (7).

Now, we assume that  $|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)} \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , on a subsequence of  $u_n$  denoted again  $u_n$ . We can assume that  $|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)} > 1$  for all  $n$ . Using relations (3) and (8) we find

$$\frac{J(u_n)}{I(u_n)} \geq \frac{c_5 \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_k} u_n|^{p_k(x)} dx}{c_2 [|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^+} + |\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^-}]} \geq \frac{c_5 |\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{p_k^-}}{c_2 [|\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^+} + |\partial_{x_k} u_n|_{p_k(\cdot)}^{q^-}]} \quad \forall u \in E, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^*,$$

where  $c_5$  is a positive constant. Since by condition (A2) we have  $p_k^- > q^+$  the above inequalities show that  $J(u_n)/I(u_n) \rightarrow \infty$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which contradicts again (7).

Therefore, the conclusion of Lemma 1 is valid. □

Now, we are prepared to show that every  $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$  is an eigenvalue of problem (1).

Let  $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \infty)$  be arbitrary but fixed. Define  $T_\lambda : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$T_\lambda(u) = J(u) - \lambda I(u).$$

Clearly,  $T_\lambda \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  with

$$\langle T'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \langle J'(u), v \rangle - \lambda \langle I'(u), v \rangle, \quad \forall u \in E.$$

Thus,  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of problem (1) if and only if there exists  $u_\lambda \in E \setminus \{0\}$  a critical point of  $T_\lambda$ .

By Lemma 1 we get that  $T_\lambda$  is coercive, i.e.  $\lim_{\|u\|_{\vec{p}(x)} \rightarrow \infty} T_\lambda(u) = \infty$ . On the other hand, similar arguments as those used in the proof of [20, Lemma 3.4] show that the functional  $T_\lambda$  is weakly lower semi-continuous. These two facts enable us to apply [32, Theorem 1.2] in order to prove that there exists  $u_\lambda \in E$  a global minimum point of  $T_\lambda$  and thus, a critical point of  $T_\lambda$ . In order to conclude that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of problem (1) it is enough to show that  $u_\lambda$  is not trivial. Indeed, since  $\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in E \setminus \{0\}} \frac{J(u)}{I(u)}$  and  $\lambda > \lambda_1$  it follows that there exists  $v_\lambda \in E$  such that

$$J(v_\lambda) < \lambda I(v_\lambda),$$

or

$$T_\lambda(v_\lambda) < 0.$$

Thus,

$$\inf_E T_\lambda < 0$$

and we conclude that  $u_\lambda$  is a non-trivial critical point of  $T_\lambda$ , that is  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of problem (1).

- Finally, we note that by the above arguments we can infer that  $\lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank the referee for some useful comments and suggestions that lead to an improved version of the paper. The first author has been supported by Grant CNCISIS PNII-79/2007 ‘Degenerate and Singular Nonlinear Processes’.

## REFERENCES

1. E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Regularity results for a class of functionals with nonstandard growth, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **156** (2001), 121–140.
2. E. Acerbi and G. Mingione, Gradient estimates for the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian system, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **584** (2005), 117–148.
3. C. O. Alves and M. A. Souto, Existence of solutions for a class of problems in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian, in *Contributions to nonlinear analysis, a tribute to D.G. de Figueiredo on the occasion of his 70th birthday* (Cazenave T., Costa D., Lopes O., Manàsevich R., Rabinowitz R., Ruf R. and Tomei C., Editors), Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, Vol. **66** (Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 2006), 17–32.
4. A. Anane, Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du  $p$ -laplacien avec poids, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I* **305** (1987), 725–728.
5. J. Chabrowski and Y. Fu, Existence of solutions for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian problems on a bounded domain, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **306** (2005), 604–618.
6. Y. Chen, S. Levine and M. Rao, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image processing, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **66** (2006), 1383–1406.
7. L. Diening, Theoretical and numerical results for electrorheological fluids, PhD thesis (University of Fribourg, Germany, 2002).
8. D. E. Edmunds, J. Lang and A. Nekvinda, On  $L^{p(x)}$  norms, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **455** (1999), 219–225.
9. D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, Density of smooth functions in  $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **437** (1992), 229–236.

10. D. E. Edmunds and J. Rákosník, Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, *Studia Math.* **143** (2000), 267–293.
11. X. Fan, Remarks on eigenvalue problems involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **352** (2009), 85–98.
12. X. Fan, Q. Zhang and D. Zhao, Eigenvalues of  $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **302** (2005), 306–317.
13. I. Fragalà, F. Gazzola and B. Kawohl, Existence and nonexistence results for anisotropic quasilinear equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire* **21** (2004), 715–734.
14. T. C. Halsey, Electrorheological fluids, *Science* **258** (1992), 761–766.
15. P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Koskenoja and S. Varonen, The Dirichlet energy integral and variable exponent Sobolev spaces with zero boundary values, *Potential Anal.* **25** (2006), 79–94.
16. P. Hästö, On the density of continuous functions in variable exponent Sobolev spaces, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **23** (2007), 74–82.
17. O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník, On spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  and  $W^{1,p(x)}$ , *Czech. Math. J.* **41** (1991), 592–618.
18. M. Mihăilescu and G. Moroşanu, Existence and multiplicity of solutions for an anisotropic elliptic problem involving variable exponent growth conditions, *Appl. Anal.* **89** (2010), 257–271.
19. M. Mihăilescu, P. Pucci and V. Rădulescu, Eigenvalue problems for anisotropic quasilinear elliptic equations with variable exponent, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **340** (2008), 687–698.
20. M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, A multiplicity result for a nonlinear degenerate problem arising in the theory of electrorheological fluids, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* **462** (2006), 2625–2641.
21. M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, On a nonhomogeneous quasilinear eigenvalue problem in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 2929–2937.
22. M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, Continuous spectrum for a class of nonhomogeneous differential operators, *Manuscr. Math.* **125** (2008), 157–167.
23. M. Mihăilescu and V. Rădulescu, Spectrum in an unbounded interval for a class of nonhomogeneous differential operators, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **40** (6) (2008), 972–984.
24. J. Musielak, *Orlicz spaces and modular spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. **1034** (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
25. S. M. Nikol’skii, On imbedding, continuation and approximation theorems for differentiable functions of several variables, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **16** (1961), 55–104.
26. C. Pfeiffer, C. Mavroidis, Y. Bar-Cohen and B. Dolgin, Electrorheological fluid based force feedback device, in *Proc. 1999 SPIE Telemanipulator and Telepresence Technologies VI Conf.*, vol. 3840 (Boston, MA, 1999), pp. 88–99.
27. J. Rákosník, Some remarks to anisotropic Sobolev spaces I, *Beitr. Anal.* **13** (1979), 55–68.
28. J. Rákosník, Some remarks to anisotropic Sobolev spaces II, *Beitr. Anal.* **15** (1981), 127–140.
29. K. R. Rajagopal and M. Ruzicka, Mathematical modelling of electrorheological fluids, *Contin. Mech. Thermodyn.* **13** (2001), 59–78.
30. M. Ruzicka, *Electrorheological fluids: Modeling and mathematical theory* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002).
31. S. Samko and B. Vakulov, Weighted Sobolev theorem with variable exponent for spatial and spherical potential operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **310** (2005), 229–246.
32. M. Struwe, *Variational methods: Applications to nonlinear partial differential equations and Hamiltonian systems* (Springer, Heidelberg, 1996).
33. M. Troisi, Teoremi di inclusione per spazi di Sobolev non isotropi, *Ricerche Mat.* **18** (1969), 3–24.
34. L. Ven’-tuan, On embedding theorems for spaces of functions with partial derivatives of various degree of summability, *Vestn. Leningr. Univ.* **16** (1961), 23–37.
35. V. Zhikov, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, *Math. USSR Izv.* **29** (1987), 33–66.